A Review of Hooking Mortality, Associated Influential Factors, and Angling Gear Restrictions, with Implications for Management of the Alligator Gar

by Daniel J. Daugherty and Daniel L. Bennett

> Management Data Series No. 297 2019



INLAND FISHERIES DIVISION 4200 Smith School Road Austin, Texas 78744

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) is dedicated to the conservation and management of quality fisheries for the Alligator Gar Atractosteus spatula. To further inform science-based management decisions and identify knowledge gaps, we conducted a review of information concerning hooking mortality in catch-and-release fisheries, as well as gear-based regulations and best management practices (BMPs) in place to minimize its occurrence. Given the historic paucity of research on the Alligator Gar, no direct study of hooking mortality has been conducted. Thus, evaluations for species of similar form and function, as well as general information on hooking mortality in fishes, were reviewed to gain inferences on the potential significance of this mortality source within the context of Alligator Gar fisheries. Hooking mortality of other large-bodied top predators was generally less than 10%; deep hooking was the primary contributor to post-release mortality. Among 274 hooking mortality studies reviewed for various species, hooking mortality rates were positively skewed (median = 11%, mean = 18%). Anatomical hooking location was again the most significant factor influencing mortality. No states have implemented gear-based restrictions for Alligator Gar; however, restrictions on hook type for species exhibiting similar life-history or anatomical features (e.g., pikes *Esox* spp. and sturgeons Acipenser spp.) have been used to reduce the likelihood of deep hooking. Continued refinement of best management practices for Alligator Gar requires understanding the proportion of populations caught by anglers in a given year in order to estimate the potential impact of hooking mortality. In addition, dissemination of effective angling techniques that minimize post-release mortality should be conveyed to the angling public to promote development of BMPs.

### HOOKING MORTALITY AND ASSOCIATED INFLUENTIAL FACTORS

Globally, most fishes caught by recreational anglers are released, either voluntarily or mandatorily as a byproduct of size- or creel-based harvest regulations (Policansky 2002; Cooke and Cowx 2004; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Arlinghaus 2007; Arlinghaus et al. 2007; Hühn and Arlinghaus 2007). Anglers and fishery managers largely assume that released fish survive and contribute to the future fishery, either through reproduction or subsequent recapture by another angler (Schmitt and Shoup 2013); however, not all released fish survive (Muoneke and Childress 1994). As a result, a considerable number of studies have investigated this mortality source, commonly referred to as hooking mortality (see reviews by Muoneke and Childress 1994; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; and Hühn and Arlinghaus 2011). Results of these studies indicate that the rate of mortality among fishes following release is highly variable, ranging from less than 1% to as high as 95% (Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005). The considerable differences observed among studies can largely be attributed to a number of factors, including species, body size, bait, hook, and gear types, anatomical hooking location, fish handing practices, and water depth and temperature (Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Hühn and Arlinghaus 2011; Schmitt and Shoup 2013). The wide range in mortality observed among and within species, coupled with the myriad of influential factors, illustrates a need to understand sources of hooking mortality and develop best management practices (BMPs) that minimize or eliminate negative effects on fisheries.

Despite considerable research efforts to understand hooking mortality, only a fraction of fishes and fisheries have been investigated (Hühn and Arlinghaus 2011). Cooke and Suski (2005) argued that hooking mortality is reasonably understood for only five species (Largemouth Bass Micropterus salmoides, Walleye Sander vitreus, Rainbow Trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, Striped Bass Morone saxatilis, and Atlantic Salmon Salmo salar), whereas our understanding among other species is rudimentary or non-existent, which remains the case for many fishes today. Such is the case for the Alligator Gar Atractosteous spatula, which has experienced a sharp increase in popularity among recreational anglers in recent decades. Given the life history of the species, including a long life span (greater than 60 years; Daugherty et al. 2019) and periodic reproductive success (Buckmeier et al. 2016), even low rates of hooking mortality (e.g.,  $\leq$  5%) may result in significant impacts in systems with high fishing effort and harvest (Coggins et al. 2007). Thus, understanding the potential impacts of hooking mortality on Alligator Gar fisheries is important. Our objective was to review the scientific literature surrounding hooking mortality within the context of its application to Alligator Gar. This was accomplished using two approaches; first, we collated and distilled information on hooking mortality for species of similar form and function. Second, we identified influential factors associated with hooking mortality derived from meta-analyses of the existing literature that are applicable to Alligator Gar fisheries. Ultimately, we used the results to provide recommendations towards identifying critical knowledge gaps and the development of BMPs for catch-and-release within Alligator Gar fisheries.

### Hooking mortality in similar species

Whereas no studies in the literature have investigated hooking mortality among gars (Lepisosteidae), a considerable amount of research has been conducted on hooking mortality in the pike family (Esocidae) which includes the Northern Pike Esox lucius and Muskellunge E. masquinongy. Similar to the Alligator Gar in both anatomical form (e.g., large terminal mouth, elongate body, large body sizes [to 1,800-mm; Page and Burr 1991]) and function (piscivorous, top predators), evaluations of hooking mortality in these species provide the most applicable inferences to the Alligator Gar (Wydoski 1977). Tomcko (1997) reviewed 11 studies and reported mean estimates of hooking mortality (weighted by sample size) ranging from 4.5% for Northern Pike to 15.6% for Muskellunge (Table 1); however, the author noted that Muskellunge hooking mortality may have been overestimated due to unusual handling techniques employed in one study (Beggs et al. 1980). Among the studies examined, the author further noted that fishing tackle typically inflicted greater damage and subsequently higher mortality when fish were deeply hooked in critical areas (e.g., in the gills, gullet, stomach). Grimm (1981; sensu Tomcko 1997) reported that irrespective of hook type (i.e., single versus treble), the most important determinant of mortality in angled Northern Pike was whether the hook was swallowed. In that study, fish were angled, lines were cut (i.e., hooks were not removed), and fish were released into ponds for up to 10 months. Mortality was 13.5% when hooks were swallowed, versus 4.2% for mouth-hooked fish. Burkholder (1992) reported that mortality of Northern Pike angled with treble hooks ranged from 3.3 to 4.8%, whereas no mortality was observed among those caught on single hooks. In addition, the likelihood of both deep hooking and bleeding was significantly greater for fish caught on treble hooks.

Subsequent studies of Esocid hooking mortality have reported similar results to those reviewed by Tomcko (1997). Arlinghaus et al. (2008) reported hooking mortality for Northern Pike of 2.4% (95% confidence interval = 0.9 to 3.9%), with mortality significantly related to the incidence of bleeding. The authors noted that the use of natural baits may be associated with a higher incidence of deep hooking, which in turn increased the likelihood of bleeding. Collectively, these studies of Esocids indicated that deep hooking is the primary contributor to post-release mortality, with the use of treble hooks being associated with higher rates of deep hooking and the incidence and severity of associated bleeding.

# Influential factors affecting hooking mortality

Muoneke and Childress (1994) provided the first meta-analysis of hooking mortality studies, incorporating data from 76 studies covering 32 fish taxa. Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) built upon those efforts, evaluating an additional 53 studies and 32 fishes. Collectively, these studies assessed 274 estimates of hooking mortality and their associated biotic and abiotic correlates. Across species and studies, the distribution of hooking mortality rates was positively skewed (median = 11%, mean = 18%, range = 0 to 95%).

Similar to the results reported for Esocids, anatomical hooking location was the most significant factor influencing mortality across studies and species. Eleven studies investigating this factor concluded or experimentally demonstrated that fish hooked in critical areas experienced higher mortality (Pauley and Thomas 1993; Murphy et al. 1995; Diodati and Richards 1996; Nelson 1998; Lukacovic 2000; Lukacovic 2001; Taylor et al. 2001; Lukacovic and Uphoff 2002; Prince et al. 2002; Skomal et al. 2002; Zimmerman and Bocheneck 2002).

Additional factors resulting in significantly elevated hooking mortality were the use of natural baits, the removal of hooks from deeply hooked fish (as opposed to cutting the line and leaving the hook in place), the use of "J" style hooks versus circle hooks, water temperature and depth, and extended fight and handling times. In contrast, the use of barbed over barbless hooks was found to have limited impacts on the mortality of hooked fish, as was the size of fish hooked, hook size, and the use of treble versus single hooks.

Natural baits increase the risk of deep hooking because fish are more likely to voluntarily ingest them as compared to artificial lures (May 1973; Warner and Johnson 1978; Diggles and Ernst 1997; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005). Cutting the line on deeply hooked fish is known to significantly increase survivorship because attempts to retrieve these hooks can result in further internal injury. For example, removal of ingested hooks from Yellowfin Bream Acanthopagrus australis and Mulloway Argyrosomus japonicus resulted in 88 and 73% mortality, respectively, whereas mortality of fish released without removing ingested hooks was 2 and 16% (Butcher et al. 2007). Deeply hooked fish commonly shed the hooks over time (Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005); some have reported shedding of retained hooks within six months (Mason and Hunt 1967; Schill 1996, Tsuboi et al. 2006; Broadhurst et al. 2007; Weltersbach et al. 2016). However, most of the fish in these studies were hooked in the gills or gullet and, barring serious injury, likely regurgitated the hooks rather than passing them through the digestive tract (Lamansky et al. 2018). Lamansky et al. (2018) documented the passing of hooks ingested by White Sturgeon Acipenser transmontanus averaged about 16 months. Because passing hooks through digestive processes often requires the hook to degrade via oxidation, anticorrosive coatings or the use of stainless steel delays or prevents such processes. In turn, this lengthens the time the hook point and barb remain sharp, increasing the potential for further internal damage (McGrath et al. 2011). As a result, many agencies advocate against the use of stainless steel (McGrath et al. 2011).

The use of circle hooks can effectively reduce deep hooking (and therefore hooking mortality) when compared to "J"-style hooks for many species. Cooke and Suski (2004) advocated for the use of circle hooks in a specialized fishery for Muskellunge, in which live bait rigs, designed to be swallowed by trophy-sized fish prior to hook setting, were used. They suggested that circle hooks may minimize injury and mortality in this situation, which was supported by angler-supplied, informal evidence. Margenau and Petchenik (2004) reported that 93% of Muskellunge anglers surveyed had no experience with circle hooks (as of 1999), but 51% said they would support their use. Another 40% expressed uncertainty about their use until they used them. Some species can suffer greater injury with circle hooks, and their design may be incompatible with the feeding behavior or mouth morphology of others, rendering them ineffective (Cooke and Suski 2004). Thus, Cooke and Suski (2004) encouraged management agencies to conduct appropriate studies to assess their conservation benefits and provide anglers with credible information.

The significance of water temperature in relation to hooking mortality is related to its relationships with dissolved oxygen and fish metabolism. Dissolved oxygen concentrations decline with increasing temperatures, while fish metabolic activity increases (Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005). Combined with the physiological stresses associated with capture and air exposure during hook removal, low dissolved oxygen can lead to prolonged or failed recovery, which can be exacerbated by lengthy fight durations and handling times (Wood et al 1983;

Ferguson and Tufts 1992; Muoneke and Childress 1994; Tomasso et al. 1996; Wilkie et al. 1996; Lee and Bergersen 1996; Cooke et al. 2001). In addition, injuries associated with hooking may be more susceptible to infection at higher water temperatures (Muoneke 1992). Water depth effects on hooking mortality were generally associated with the physical and physiological impacts of barotrauma (i.e., physiological regulation of dissolved gases; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005).

### Implications for the catch-and-release of Alligator Gar

A considerable amount of research has been conducted in recent years on the Alligator Gar, providing critical information for the sustainable management of fisheries (see Smith et al. 2019). However, no studies to date have directly addressed hooking mortality, and best management practices have yet to be developed. As a result, reasonable expectations must be determined from what has been estimated for similar species and from associated factors applicable to Alligator Gar fisheries. Given that current harvest in Texas' Alligator Gar fisheries is estimated to be about 3% annually (Binion et al 2015; Buckmeier et al. 2016; Smith et al. 2018), and the desire of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) to maintain total harvest of stocks at or below 5% (Buckmeier et al. 2016), added losses from catch-and-release mortality could be a concern if significant. It should be noted that while harvest rates are a direct proportion of the population (e.g., 3% harvest equates to 3% of the population removed), hooking mortality is limited to the portion of the population caught and released by anglers annually. For example, if anglers catch 10% of a given population each year, a hooking mortality rate of 20% would result in a 2% increase in fishing mortality at the population level.

Traditional angling techniques for Alligator Gar centered on the use of large treble hooks and natural bait, allowing fish to consume it prior to setting the hook much like that described by Cooke and Suski (2004) for Muskellunge. This technique increases the likelihood of a successful hookset. However, it also can increase the number of fish that are deeply hooked, increasing the probability of hooking mortality. While the technique is still used today, some anglers have taken the initiative to reduce the likelihood of hooks penetrating vital organs through the use of smaller treble hooks (see https://www.in-fisherman.com/editorial/alligatorgar-greatest-sportfish/359146; accessed 15 May 2019). Alternatively, some anglers have abandoned the practice of allowing fish to swallow the bait, employing larger "J"-style or circle hooks that are set before baits are swallowed. Artificial lures and flies are also becoming more popular, further promoting the hooking of fish in the mouth. In addition to promoting these alternatives as BMPs, managers should inform anglers of the risks associated with the use of large hooks, hooks made of stainless steel or other corrosion-resistant materials, and removal of hooks from deeply-hooked fish (i.e., line should be cut). Finally, managers should inform anglers that bleeding increases the likelihood of mortality to allow informed decisions regarding harvest where permitted.

Water temperature and depth are less likely to impact Alligator Gar hooking mortality, as compared to other species, given that gars are adapted to high water temperatures and low dissolved oxygen concentrations. This is largely due to their relatively unique ability to utilize atmospheric oxygen, swallowed at the water surface and transferred to their highly vascularized swim bladder through a duct in the esophagus (Schmidt-Nielsen 1997). However, gars receive 70 to 80% of their oxygen from the atmosphere at temperatures > 22° C (71° F; Rahn et al. 1971). Summertime water temperatures in Texas rivers routinely exceed 30° C (86° F), and thus,

access to atmospheric oxygen is critical during this time. Deep hooking, particularly in the esophagus, may negatively impact atmospheric air exchange, delaying or preventing recovery following hooking.

Although hooking mortality studies have largely been limited to active gear types, recent consideration of the effects of hooking mortality via passive gears, including jug lines and trot lines, have increased (e.g., Schmitt and Shoup 2013). When employed, these gears are used to target Alligator Gar almost exclusively using natural baits. Given their passive presentation, it is reasonable to assume that most baits are swallowed prior to angler retrieval, resulting in a high incidence of deep hooking. In addition, these gears are commonly set for catfishes, and incidental catch of Alligator Gar is also known to occur. Because current regulations allow these gears to be set for up to 10 days, Alligator Gar incidentally caught by these gear types, particularly at high water temperatures during summer, may experience significant mortality. Discouraging the use of passively-set gears for Alligator Gar, or promoting the use of light-duty tackle could reduce potential impacts.

Collectively, the scientific literature on hooking mortality provides a foundation for the development of best management practices within catch-and-release fisheries for Alligator Gar. Understanding the proportion of Alligator Gar populations caught by anglers in a given year, along with the dissemination of current scientific information to the angling public, is an important first step in encouraging the use of angling techniques that minimize post-release mortality. This, along with continued refinement of BMPs for catch-and-release angling of Alligator Gar based on new scientific information, will be beneficial to the conservation of both the Alligator Gar and the quality of its fisheries in Texas and beyond.

# ANGLING GEAR RESTRICTIONS

Most U.S. state agencies allow the harvest of Alligator Gar by a variety of means and methods, including rod and reel, bowfishing, and spearfishing. Most current regulations concerning harvest pertain only to restrictive daily or annual bag and length-based limits (e.g., one per day in Texas, one per year greater than 36 inches in Arkansas). However, no U.S. state or federal agency currently places restrictions on the equipment used when rod and reel angling for Alligator Gar. Regulations on hook type, bait type, and bait size have been used for other sport species, primarily to reduce hooking mortality caused by deep hooking, to limit harvest, to prevent illegal snagging, or reduce the spread of non-native species. Herein, we synthesize existing gear-based regulations, with the intent of informing the development of best management practices for catch-and-release angling of Alligator Gar.

### Gear restrictions for similar species

Some states have placed restrictions on hook type for species exhibiting life-history or anatomical features similar to the Alligator Gar, including the Muskellunge *Esox masquinongy*, Tiger Muskie *E. masquinongy*  $\times$  *E. lucius or E. lucius*  $\times$  *masquinongy*, and sturgeons *Acipenser* spp. Historically, Muskellunge anglers utilized a single hook, placed in the mouth of a bait when trolling, and allowed the fish to swallow the bait before initiating the hookset. Wisconsin now mandates the use of "quick-strike rigs" or a non-offset circle hook when fishing with a minnow eight inches or longer. A "quick-strike rig" is tackle that has one or more treble hooks attached to the body of a bait fish, behind the head. Along with the quick-strike rig, anglers are also

required to immediately attempt to set the hook upon indication of a bite. The regulation is intended to increase the odds of hooking a Muskellunge in the mouth when using natural bait, thereby reducing the likelihood of a deeply hooked fish.

In select locations, Utah prohibits the harvest of Tiger Muskie because the fish are primarily utilized as a management tool to control the abundance of other species such as yellow perch. Utah also restricts bait size and quantity to an individual piece per hook, not larger than one inch in any dimension; using whole fish for bait is unlawful. The intent of this regulation is to reduce the incidence of invasive species introductions through bait transfers. Multiple agencies, including the National Park Service, Canadian Ministry of Natural Resources, and Inland Fisheries Ireland, also prohibit the use of natural baits to prevent the introduction of invasive species that might be used as bait.

Multiple agencies regulate the hook type used for sturgeon species to aid hook removal by the angler and increase the likelihood a fish will quickly shed an unremoved hook following capture. Most states and Canadian agencies with fishable sturgeon populations require the use of a single, barbless hook (e.g. California, Idaho, Washington, British Columbia) when fishing for sturgeon. Idaho also requires the use of sliding sinkers for sturgeon, attached by swivel and leader to the mainline, to reduce the amount of fishing gear left in rivers if the sinker is caught in rocks.

## Gear restrictions for other fishes

The most common restrictions on hook type include regulations mandating the use of a single or barbless hook for recreational trout and salmon fisheries along with highly restrictive size or creel limits (e.g., Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Indiana, and Oklahoma). Alaska, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Indiana also regulate the size of hook used and the use of weighted hooks or placement of weights in a specified proximity to a hook to prevent illegal snagging of salmonids during spawning migrations.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and many coastal states have restrictions on hook type, hook removal equipment, and techniques for release to prevent bycatch or hooking mortality of many saltwater species. NOAA requires pelagic longline anglers to use "weak hooks" in the Gulf of Mexico during the months of April and May to prevent the bycatch and mortality of Bluefin *Tuna Thunnus thynnus*. Weak hooks are designed to bend when large tuna are hooked, allowing the fish to escape.

Federal regulations require the use of non-offset, non-stainless circle hooks when fishing for sharks, which Texas has proposed to mandate in state waters beginning on 01 September 2019. Commercial anglers using pelagic longline gear in the Atlantic are also required to use circle hooks, primarily to reduce bycatch mortality of sharks. In addition, anglers fishing in Atlantic billfish tournaments are required to use circle hooks when fishing with natural baits. Many state and federal agencies (e.g., NOAA, Alabama, Florida) also mandate the use of nonoffset circle hooks or non-stainless circle hooks for reef fishes, including snappers (Lutjanidae) or anadromous species like Striped Bass (e.g. Maryland, New Jersey) to increase the likelihood of mouth hooking and ultimately the survival of released fish. Federal regulations are highly restrictive for the critically endangered Smalltooth Sawfish *Pristis pectinate*. If hooked, Smalltooth Sawfish must be left in the water and the angler is required to cut the fishing line as close to the hook as possible. Hooks are not permitted to be removed, except using a long-handled dehooker. A dehooking device is also required equipment to have onboard a fishing vessel in federal and most state coastal waters while fishing for any species.

The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission prohibits the harvest of more than a dozen saltwater species using any multi-point hook (a hook with two or more points and a common shaft; e.g., treble hook) in conjunction with live or dead natural bait. These species include Spotted Seatrout *Cynoscion nebulosus*, Black Drum *Pogonias cromis*, flounders *Platichthys* spp., Red Drum *Sciaenops ocellatus*, and sharks (Selachimorpha). The intent of the regulation is to reduce mortality of deeply hooked fish, of which many are required to be released due to restrictive length limits or protected seasons.

Regulations prohibiting certain hook and bait types are predominately employed to provide added protection for threatened fish species or where both angling effort and associated hooking mortality is known to be high relative to population size. As an alternative or in addition to regulations specifying hook type or bait type, some agencies also incorporate BMPs for fish handling and release within regulatory guides or agency hosted websites (Figure 1). These BMPs can be useful in disseminating information and promoting angling practices known to reduce injury and mortality of released fish without the need for additional, complex regulations.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Arizona 2019-2020 Fishing Regulations. <u>http://www.eregulations.com/arizona/fishing/</u>. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Arkansas General Fishing Regulations 2019. <u>https://www.agfc.com/en/fishing/general-fishing-regulations/</u>. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Arlinghaus, R. 2007. Voluntary catch-and-release can generate conflict within the recreational angling community: a qualitative case study of specialized carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, angling in Germany. Fisheries Management and Ecology 14:161-171.
- Arlinghaus, R., S. J. Cooke, J. Lyman, D. Policansky, A. Schwab, C. D. Suski, G. Sutton, and E.
  B. Thorstad. 2007. Understanding the complexity of catch-and-release in recreational fishing: an integrative synthesis of global knowledge from historical, ethical, social, and, biological perspectives. Reviews in Fisheries Science 15:75-167.
- Arlinghaus, R., T. Klefoth, A. Kobler, and S. J. Cooke. 2008. Size selectivity, injury, handling time, and determinants of initial hooking mortality in recreational angling for Northern Pike: the influence of type and size of bait. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 28:123-134.
- Bartholomew, A., and J. A. Bohnsack. 2005. A review of catch-and-release angling mortality with implications for no-take reserves. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 15:129-154.
- Beggs, G. L., G. F. Holeton, and E. J. Crossman. 1980. Some physiological consequences of angling stress on Muskellunge, *Esox masquinongy* Mitchell. Journal of Fisheries Biology 17:649-659.
- Beukema, J. J. 1970. Acquired hook-avoidance in the pike *Esox lucius* L. fished with artificial and natural baits. Journal of Fisheries Biology 2:155-160.
- British Columbia 2019-2021 Freshwater Fishing Regulations Synopsis. <u>http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/fw/fish/regulations/docs/1921/fishing\_synopsis.pdf</u>. Accessed 28 May 2019.
- Broadhurst, M. K., P. A. Butcher, C. P. Brand, and M. Porter. 2007. Ingestion and ejection of hooks: effects on long-term health and mortality of angler-caught Yellowfin Bream *Acanthopagrus australis*. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 74:27-36.
- Buckmeier, D. L., N. G. Smith, D. J. Daugherty and D. L. Bennett. 2016. Reproductive ecology of Alligator Gar: identification of environmental drivers of recruitment success. Journal of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 4:8-17.

- Burkholder, A. 1992. Mortality of Northern Pike captured and released with sport fishing gear. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Fishery Data Series 92.3, Anchorage.
- Butcher, P. A., M. K. Broadhurst, D. Reynolds, D. D. Reid, and C. A. Gray. 2007. Release method and anatomical hook location: effects on short-term mortality of angler-caught *Acanthopagrus australis* and *Argyrosomus japonicus*. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 74:17-26.
- California Freshwater Sportfishing Regulations 2019-2020. <u>https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/regulations</u>. Accessed 28 May 2019.
- Carlander, K. D. 1969. Handbook of freshwater fishery biology, volume one. The Iowa University Press, Ames.
- Coggins, L. G., Jr., M. J. Catalano, M. S. Allen, W. E. Pine III, and C. J. Walters. 2007. Effects of cryptic mortality and the hidden costs of using length limits in fishery management. Fish and Fisheries 8:196-210.
- Colorado Parks and Wildlife. 2019 Colorado Fishing. <u>https://cpw.state.co.us/Documents/RulesRegs/Brochure/fishing.pdf</u>. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Cooke, S. J., and I. G. Cowx. 2004. The role of recreational fisheries in a global fish crises. Bioscience 54:857-859.
- Cooke, S. J., D. P. Philip, K. M. Dunmall, and J. F. Schreer. 2001. The influence of terminal tackle on injury, handling time, and cardiac disturbance of Rock Bass. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 21:333-342.
- Cooke, S. J., and C. D. Suski. 2004. Are circle hooks an effective tool for conserving marine and freshwater recreational catch-and-release fisheries? Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 14:299-326.
- Cooke, S. J., and C. D. Suski. 2005. Do we need species-specific guidelines for catch-andrelease recreational angling to effectively conserve diverse fishery resources? Biodiversity Conservation 14:1195-1209.
- Daugherty, D. J., A. H. Andrews, and N. G. Smith. 2019. Otolith-based age estimates of Alligator Gar assessed using bomb radiocarbon dating to greater than 60 years. North American Journal of Fisheries Management; early view online DOI:10.1002/nafm.10390.
- Diggles, B. K., and L. Earnst. 1997. Hooking mortality of two species of shallow reef fish caught by recreational fishing. Marine and Freshwater Research 48:479-483.
- Diodati, P. J., and R. A. Richards. 1996. Mortality of Striped Bass hooked and released in salt water. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 125:300-307.
- DuBois, R. B., T. L. Margenau, R. S. Stewart, P. K. Cunningham, and P. W. Rasmussen. 1994. Hooking mortality of Northern Pike angled through ice. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 14:769-775.

- Falk, M. R., and D. V. Gillman. 1975. Mortality data for angled Arctic Grayling and Northern pike from the Great Slave Lake area, Northwest Territories. Canada Department of the Environment, Data Report Series CEN/D-75-1:1-24, Ottawa.
- Ferguson, R. A., and B. C. Tufts. 1992. Physiological effects of brief air exposure in exhaustively exercised Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): implications for "catch and release" fisheries. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 49:1157-1162.
- Fishing in Washington: 2018-2019 Sportfishing Rules Pamphlet. 2018. https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/01998. Accessed 12 June 2019.
- Florida Saltwater Fishing Regulations. 2019. <u>http://www.eregulations.com/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2019/05/19FLSW\_JULY-LR1.pdf</u>. Accessed 13 June 2019.
- Guide to Wisconsin Hook and Line Fishing Regulations, 2019-2020. https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/fishing/regulations/hookline.html. Accessed 28 May 2019.
- Grimm, M. 1981. The surviving chances of caught and released pikes. Organization for the Improvement of Freshwater Fisheries, Bericht 1981-1:8-11.
- Hühn, D., and R. Arlinghaus. 2011. Determinants of hooking mortality in freshwater recreational fisheries: a quantitative meta-analysis. American Fisheries Society Symposium 75:141-170.
- Idaho Fishing 2019-2021 Seasons and Rules. <u>https://idfg.idaho.gov/blog/2018/12/2019-2021-idaho-fishing-seasons-and-rules-available</u>. Accessed 6 June 2019.
- Indiana Freshwater Fishing Regulations: Lake Michigan Regulations. <u>http://www.eregulations.com/indiana/fishing/lake-michigan-regulations/</u>. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Irish Fishing Regulations. <u>http://www.fishinginireland.info/regulations.htm</u>. Accessed 14 June 2019.
- Lee, W. C., and E. P. Bergersen. 1996. Influence of thermal and oxygen stratification on Lake Trout hooking mortality. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 16:175-181.
- Lukacovic, R. 2000. Hooking mortality of deep and shallow hooked Striped Bass under different environmental conditions. Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Project F-54-R Annual Report. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Lukacovic, R. 2001. Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Project F-54-R Annual Report. US Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Lukacovic, R., and J. H. Uphoff, Jr. 2002. Hook location, fish size, and season as factors influencing catch-and-release mortality of Striped Bass caught with bait in Chesapeake Bay. Pages 97-100 *in* J. A. Lucy and A. L. Studholme, editors. Catch and Release Symposium in Marine Recreational Fisheries. American Fisheries Society Symposium 30, Bethesda, Maryland.

- Margenau, T. L., and J. B. Petchenik. 2004. Social aspects of Muskellunge management in Wisconsin. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24:82-93.
- Maryland Guide to Fishing and Crabbing 2019. <u>http://www.eregulations.com/maryland/fishing/</u>. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Mason, J. W., and R. L. Hunt. 1967. Mortality rates of deeply hooked Rainbow Trout. Progressive Fish Culturist 29:87-91.
- May, B. E. 1973. Evaluation of large scale release programs with special reference to bass fishing tournaments. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners 26:325-329.
- McGrath, S. P., M. K. Broadhurst, P. A Butcher, and S. C. Cairns. 2011. Fate of three Australian teleosts after ingesting conventional and modified stainless- and carbon-steel hooks. ICES Journal of Marine Science 68(10):1-9.
- Muoneke, M. I. 1992. Seasonal hooking mortality of Bluegills caught on natural baits. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 12:645-649.
- Muoneke, M. I., and W. M. Childress. 1994. Hooking mortality: a review for recreational fisheries. Reviews in Fisheries Science 2:123-156.
- Murphy, W. D., R. F. Heagey, V. H. Neugebauer, M. D. Gordon, and J. L. Hintz. 1995. Mortality of Spotted Seatrout released from gill-net and hook-and-line gear in Florida. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 15:748-753.
- National Park Service Great Smokey Mountains: Fishing https://www.nps.gov/grsm/planyourvisit/fishing.htm. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Nelson, K. L. 1998. Catch-and-release mortality of Striped Bass in the Roanoke River, North Carolina. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 18:25-30.
- New Jersey Marine Digest. Size and Possession Limits. <u>http://www.eregulations.com/newjersey/fishing/saltwater/</u>. Accessed 6 June 2019.
- NOAA Fisheries Rules and Regulations. <u>https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/rules-and-regulations</u>. Accessed 28 May 2019.
- Oklahoma Fishing 2018-2019 Official Regulation Guide. <u>http://www.eregulations.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/18OKAB-Fishing-LR2.pdf</u>. Accessed 3 June 2019.
- Olson, D. E., and P. K. Cunningham. 1989. Sport fishing trends over a 58-year period shown by an annual Minnesota fishing contest. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 9:287-297.
- Outdoor Alabama. Saltwater Regulations and Enforcement. <u>https://www.outdooralabama.com/saltwater-fishing/saltwater-regulations-and-enforcement</u>. Accessed 6 June 2019.

- Page, L. M., and B. M. Burr. 1991. A Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes North American North of Mexico. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston.
- Pauley, G. B., and G. L. Thomas. 1993. Mortality of anadromous coastal Cutthroat Trout caught with artificial lures and natural bait. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 13:337-345.
- Policansky, D. 2002. Catch-and-release recreational fishing: a historical perspective. Pages 74-93 in T. J. Pitcher and C. E. Hollingsworth, editors. Recreational fisheries: ecological, economic, and social evaluation. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Prince, E. D., M. Ortiz, and A. Venizelos. 2002. A comparison of circle hooks and "J" hook performance in recreational catch and release fisheries for billfish. Pages 66-79 in J. A. Lucy and A. L. Studholme, editors. Catch and Release Symposium in Marine Recreational Fisheries. American Fisheries Society Symposium 30, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Rahn, H., K. B. Rahn, J. B. Howell., C. Gans, and S. M. Tenney. 1971. Air breathing of the garfish (*Lepisosteus osseus*). Respiration Physiology 11:285-307.
- Richards, K., and R. Ramsell. 1986. Quantifying the success of Muskellunge catch and release programs: a summary of cooperative angler-tagging studies. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 15:309-315.
- Schill, D. J. 1996. Hooking mortality of bait-caught Rainbow Trout in an Idaho stream and a hatchery: implications for special regulation management. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 16:348-356.
- Schmidt-Nielsen, K. 1997. Animal Physiology: Adaptation and Environment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Schmitt, J. D., and D. E. Shoup. 2013. Delayed hooking mortality of Blue Catfish caught on juglines. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 33:245-252.
- Schwalme, K., and W. C. Mackay. 1985. The influence of angling-induced exercise on the carbohydrate metabolism of Northern Pike (*Esox lucius* L.). Journal of Comparative Physiology 156:67-75.
- Skomal, G. B., B. C. Chase, and E. D. Prince. 2002. A comparison of circle hooks and straight hook performance in recreational fisheries for juvenile Atlantic Bluefin Tuna. Pages 57-65 in J. A. Lucy and A. L. Studholme, editors. Catch and Release Symposium in Marine Recreational Fisheries. American Fisheries Society Symposium 30, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Smith, N. G., D. J. Daugherty, E. L. Brinkman, M. G. Wegener, B. R. Kreiser, A. M. Ferrara, K. D. Kimmel, and S. R. David. 2019. Advances in the conservation and management of the Alligator Gar: A synthesis of current knowledge and introduction to a special section. North American Journal of Fisheries Management; early view online DOI:10.1002/nafm.10369.

- Smith, N. G., D. J. Daugherty, J. W. Schlechte, and D. L. Buckmeier. 2018. Modeling the responses of Alligator Gar populations to harvest under various length-based regulations: implications for conservation and management. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 147:665-673.
- Storck, T. W., and D. L. Newman. 1992. Contribution of Tiger Muskellunge to the sport fishery of a small, centrarchid-dominated impoundment. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 12:213-221.
- Strand, R. F. 1986. Identification of principle spawning areas and seasonal distribution and movements of Muskellunge in Leech Lake Minnesota. American Fisheries Society Special Publication15:62-73.
- Taylor, M. J., and K. R. White. 1992. A meta-analysis of hooking mortality of nonanadromous trout. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 12:760-767.
- Taylor, R. G., J. A. Whittington, and D. E. Haymans. 2001. Catch-and-release mortality rates of Common Snook in Florida. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 21:70-75.
- Tomasso, A. O., J. J. Isely, and J. R. Tomasso. 1996. Physiological responses and mortality of Striped Bass angled in freshwater. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 125:321-325.
- Tomcko, C. M. 1997. A review of Northern Pike Esox Lucius hooking mortality. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources Fish Management Report 32, Minneapolis.
- Tsuboi, J., K. Morita, and H. Ikeda. 2006. Fate of deep-hooked Whitespotted Char after cutting the line in a catch-and-release fishery. Fisheries Research 79:226-230.
- Utah Fishing 2019 Guidebook. <u>https://wildlife.utah.gov/fishing/fishing-regulations.html</u>. Accessed 6 June 2019.
- Warner, K. and P. R. Johnson. 1978. Mortality of landlocked Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) hooked on flies and worms in a river nursery area. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 107:772-775.
- Weithman, A. S., and R. O. Anderson. 1976. Angling vulnerability of Esocidae. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners 30:99-102.
- Weltersbach, M. S., K. Ferter, F. Sambraus, and H. V. Strehlow. 2016. Hook shedding and postrelease fate of deep-hooked European Eel. Biological Conservation 199:16-24.
- Wilkie, M. P., K. Davidson, M. A. Brobbel, J. D. Kieffer, R. K. Booth, A. T. Bielak, and B. L. Tufts. 1996. Physiology and survival of wild Atlantic Salmon following angling in warm summer waters. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 125:572-580.
- Wood, C. M., T. D. Turner, and M. S. Graham. 1983. Why do fish die after severe exercise? Journal of Fish Biology 22:189-201.

- Wydoski, R. S. 1977. Relation of hooking mortality and sublethal hooking stress to quality fishery management. Pages 43-87 *in* R. A. Barhart and T. D. Roelofs, editors. National symposium on catch and release fishing. Humboldt State University, Arcata, California.
- Zimmerman, S. R., and E. A. Bochenek. 2002. Evaluation of the effectiveness of circle hooks in New Jersey's recreational Summer Flounder fishery. Pages 106-109 *in* J. A. Lucy and A. L. Studholme, editors. Catch and Release Symposium in Marine Recreational Fisheries. American Fisheries Society Symposium 30, Bethesda, Maryland.

Table 1. Esocid hooking mortality data reproduced from Tomcko (1997).	/ data reproduced from Tomcko (1997). Species abbreviations correspond to: Northem Pike
(NOP), Muskellunge (MUE), and their hybrid, Tiger Muskellunge (TIG).	their hybrid, Tiger Muskellunge (TIG). The terminal gear type "pike" refers to a specially
designed type of hook (described in DuBois et al. 1994).	

Fish size TL(mm)	Z	Hook barb	Terminal gear	Temp (oC)	Days held	Hooking mortality (%)	Study timinII	Reference
480	LL	yes	lure		ю	5.2	Oct	Beukema 1970
365-880	75 19	yes no	hook	19	4	5.3 10.5	Jun-Aug.	Falk and Gillman 1975
245-280	38	yes	lure	15-23	>7	м	Apr-Sep	Weithman and Anderson 1976
356-610	0		hooked shallow	>	Ca t	c		Grimm 1981
	- 4 - 1		spinner,treble		180	2.4		0
	79		livebait, single		180	6.3		
	50		livebait,treble		180	9		
559-813	C		hooked shallow	>	000	0		
	07		livebait, single		300	0 0 C		
	22		hooked deep		200	Þ		
	24		livebait, single		300	12.5		
	50		livebait, treble		300	14		
489"	105	ou	hook	19.:!;2(SD)	0.1-2	С	Jui-Aug.	Schwatme and Mackay 1985
457	24 161	yes	pike treble	37(air)	Ŋ	33.3 0.6	Dec.	DuBois et at. 1994
245280	0	yes	lure	15-23	>7	0	Apr-Sep.	Weithman and Anderson 1976
619-918	25	yes	lure	19.7	3.5	30	May-Sep.	Beggs et al1980
850-1220	14	yes	lure	21.4	!:469	0	,:::1yr	Strand 1986
245-280	12	yes	lure	15-23	>7	0	Apr-Sep.	Weithman and Anderson 1976
549	384				Ý	11.7	Apr-Oct	Storck and Newman 1992

• Total length converted from fork length using formula from Carlander (1969); FL=0.937 TL



Utah Fishing • 2019

Utah Fishing • 2019

Figure 1. Best management practices for handling Tiger Muskellunge, published in the 2019 Utah Fishing Guidebook.

4

vop. detu. etilbliw

wildlife.utah.gov

16

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department 4200 Smith School Road, Austin, Texas 78744

© 2019 TPWD. PWD RP T3200-2725 (12/19)

In accordance with Texas Depository Law, this publication is available at the Texas State Publications Clearinghouse and/or Texas Depository Libraries.

TPWD receives funds from the USFWS. TPWD prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, disability, age, and gender, pursuant to state and federal law. To request an accommodation or obtain information in an alternative format, please contact TPWD on a Text Telephone (TTY) at (512) 389-8915 or by Relay Texas at 7-1-1 or (800) 735-2989 or by email at accessibility@tpwd.texas.gov. If you believe you have been discriminated against by TPWD, please contact TPWD, 4200 Smith School Road, Austin, TX 78744, or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office for Diversity and Workforce Management, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041.